EXHIBIT 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE No. 14 CV 3076

KEVIN ALEXANDER JONES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

STERLING INFOSYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 14-cv-03125b

KEVIN ALEXANDER JONES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

HALSTEAD MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, BROWN HARRIS STEVENS LLC GROUP, BROWN HARRIS STEVENS, LLC, AND TERRA HOLDINGS, LLC

Defendants.

and

HALSTEAD MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, BROWN HARRIS STEVENS RESIDENTIAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

STERLING INFOSYSTEMS, INC., d/b/a STERLINGBACKCHECK,

DEPOSITION OF KEVIN ALEXANDER JONES New York, New York Tuesday, December 2, 2014

REPORTED BY: DANIELLE GRANT

JOB NO. 12976

| | Page 2 |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | December 2, 2014 |
| 13 | 9:36 a.m. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Deposition of KEVIN ALEXANDER JONES, held at |
| 19 | the offices of Reed Smith, LLP, 599 Lexington |
| 20 | Avenue, New York, New York pursuant to Notice |
| 21 | before DANIELLE GRANT, a Shorthand Reporter and |
| 22 | Notary Public of the State of New York. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 1 | |

Page 3 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C. 4 Attorneys for the Plaintiff 100 South Broad Street, 19th Floor 5 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19110 DAVID A. SEARLES, ESQ., of Counsel dsearles@consumerlawfirm.com 6 (215)735-86007 8 REED & SMITH, LLP Attorneys for the Defendants 10 South Wacker Drive, 40th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 MICHAEL O'NEIL, ESQ., of Counsel 10 michael.oneil@reedsmith.com (312)207-287911 12 VENABLE LLP Attorneys for the Halstead 1270 Avenue of the Americas, 24th Floor 13 New York, New York 10020 EDMUND M. O'TOOLE, ESQ., of Counsel 14 emotoole@venable.com 15 (212)953-338816 LEGAL ACTION CENTER Attorneys for the Plaintiff 225 Varick Street 17 4th Floor 18 New York, New York 10014 MONICA WELBY, ESQ., of Counsel BY: 19 (212)243-131320 21 22 23 24 25

- 2 other people.
- 3 Q What steps did you take to apply
- 4 for that job, sir?
- 5 A An interview was set up with
- 6 Mr. Barton.
- 7 Q Did you contact Mr. Barton?
- 8 A If I'm not mistaken, Paul himself
- 9 actually set it up. He said go see him at --
- 10 I'm not sure what time, 12:00 o'clock at this
- address, mention my name, meaning his name.
- 12 Q So you had an appointment to meet
- with Mr. Barton at his office?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q That was in July of 2012, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What did Mr. Barton tell you about
- 18 the position?
- 19 A Basically the same thing Paul
- 20 said, there's a temporary position. There's a
- 21 possibility of full-time, no guarantees. You
- 22 will be paid \$16 an hour, doorman slash porter
- and we'd love to have you. That's one of the
- last things he said to me.
- Q Did you understand that you were

- 2 being interviewed for a temporary position in a
- 3 particular building?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Which building was that, sir?
- 6 A The same building, 45 East 62nd.
- 7 Q And if you got the job, you'd be
- 8 working there while the normal doorman or
- 9 porter was on vacation, correct?
- 10 A I believe that was part of it too,
- 11 yes.
- 12 Q Did you have an understanding as
- to when that vacation was going to begin?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did you understand that you
- 16 would -- if you got the job, you'd start
- working once that vacation began?
- 18 A My understanding was the job would
- 19 start immediately.
- 20 Q How do you -- I'm sorry, I
- 21 interrupted you. How did you get that
- 22 understanding?
- 23 A That was from Mr. Barton himself,
- 24 also Paul.
- 25 O So the records show that and I

- 2 think the lawsuit alleges that you met with Mr.
- 3 Barton on July 12th?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So when -- is it your testimony
- 6 that Mr. Barton told you the job would start
- 7 immediately?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Would it start at the building on
- 10 East 62nd Street?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So by immediately, did that mean
- 13 at the end of the interview he wanted you to go
- 14 to the building?
- 15 A No. In other words, they were
- looking for someone to hire immediately.
- 17 Interview, paperwork, my assumption was start
- 18 working. Timeframe not sure, but immediately
- 19 to me meant soon.
- 20 O Mr. Barton used the word
- 21 immediately?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q You made reference to paperwork?
- 24 A Yes.

- THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes.
- 3 Q Can you identify Exhibit No. 2
- 4 for the record, Mr. Jones?
- 5 A Application for employment.
- 6 Q And is that your handwriting on
- 7 Exhibit 2?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Is this the application that you
- 10 filled out at Halstead's offices after you left
- 11 Mr. Barton's office?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q After you left Halstead's offices
- on July 12, 2012, when was the next time you
- 15 had any communication with Halstead?
- 16 A I believe it was July 16th, the
- 17 following Monday.
- 18 Q Were you surprised you hadn't
- 19 heard from Halstead prior to that Monday?
- 20 A No.
- Q Was that because you knew it was
- 22 going to take some time to process your
- 23 application?
- 24 A No.
- Q Why weren't you surprised that it

- 2 took until July 16th to hear from Halstead?
- 3 A It's a process. I didn't have a
- 4 clue as to how long it would take. I would
- 5 hope quicker.
- 6 Q Who did you talk to on July 16th?
- 7 A I don't recall the name, someone
- 8 from personnel. It might have been the same
- 9 person who actually gave me the application.
- 10 Q Did she call you or did you call
- 11 her?
- 12 A She called me.
- Q And what did she say?
- 14 A Mr. Jones, there seems to be a
- 15 problem concerning your background check. And
- 16 I was surprised and I said excuse me. She said
- there seems to be some stuff on your background
- 18 check. So I asked her what it was. I don't
- 19 recall if she actually mentioned it, I want to
- 20 say she did not. But she said, I have a number
- 21 if you want to call Sterling to verify this
- 22 information. I was very -- I don't want to say
- very upset, but I was very surprised.
- Q You said -- I think you said you
- 25 didn't think she mentioned it, is that what you

- 2 Q Did you explain to Sterling that
- 3 you had a similar problem with the Florida
- 4 records when you were trying to get your
- 5 license renewed?
- A Yes, I did. But I'm not positive
- 7 if it was that call or a second call.
- 8 Q Are you aware that the complaint
- 9 that was filed on your behalf describe the
- 10 calls you had on July 16th with Halstead and
- 11 Sterling?
- 12 A No.
- Q What did -- what, if anything, did
- 14 Sterling suggest you do during this call on
- 15 July 16th?
- 16 A From what I recall, they suggested
- if I want to dispute the findings, they'll make
- a note of that and they'll send me a copy of
- 19 the actual report.
- 20 Q Did they -- did Sterling instruct
- 21 you on how to dispute the findings?
- 22 A I was basically doing it over the
- 23 phone. I don't recall a certain procedure per
- 24 se.
- 25 Q So what did you do on the phone

- 2 call to dispute the findings?
- 3 A I kept denying that the person who
- 4 they did a background check was not the person
- 5 they were talking to.
- 6 Q Did you do anything else to file a
- 7 dispute with Sterling regarding the report?
- 8 A Well, eventually what I wound up
- 9 doing was sending them copies of the
- information I sent to Florida just to try to
- 11 help my case.
- 12 Q Why did you send that to Sterling?
- 13 A Because the situation in Florida,
- when I sent that information, it got resolved.
- 15 I was hoping to get the same result.
- 16 Q Did you believe that the same
- 17 records that were a problem when you were
- 18 getting your license renewed were the same
- 19 records Sterling was reporting to Halstead?
- 20 A I kind of felt that especially
- 21 since with the name similarity.
- 22 Q Right. Because it was a Kevin
- Jones who committed the infractions in Florida,
- 24 right?
- 25 A Correct.

- 2 Q And now you're being told that a
- 3 Kevin Jones was -- had committed similar crimes
- 4 and it was also being attributed to you, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q So you thought it was the same
- 7 records, right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Did you tell that to the person on
- 10 the phone at Sterling?
- 11 A Did I tell them?
- 12 Q I'm sorry. When you were talking
- to Sterling on July 16th, did you tell them I
- had a similar problem a couple of years ago?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And that it was the Florida
- 17 records?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Did you explain to Sterling that
- 20 you had to fill out a not me affidavit back
- 21 then?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And did she say -- did the
- 24 Sterling employee say, well, then please send
- 25 that to us?

- 2 Q As of May of 2013, had you ever
- 3 told any friends or family about this incident
- 4 you had where you tried to apply for a job with
- 5 Halstead and didn't get it?
- 6 A I'm sure I have.
- 8 about that?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Is that because it was not a
- 11 significant event in your life?
- 12 A No, that's not the reason.
- Q Was it a significant event in your
- 14 life?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And why is that, sir?
- 17 A We're talking livelihood,
- 18 employment. We're talking false information.
- 19 We're also talking embarrassment, that's what
- 20 makes it a significant event.
- 21 Q You were embarrassed in front of
- 22 somebody?
- 23 A I was embarrassed to have to
- 24 address certain issues that are on paper, that
- are stopping me from going where I want to go,

- 2 whether it be another job or just moving on to
- 3 whatever I'm going to do in my life. And then
- 4 to find out that it's not true, which is what
- 5 I've been saying all along. Yes, that's
- 6 embarrassing.
- 7 O Was it similar to the
- 8 embarrassment you had in 2009 when the New York
- 9 State Department of Motor Vehicles thought that
- 10 you had committed some driving infractions in
- 11 Florida?
- 12 A It was very similar.
- 13 Q Miss Court Reporter, can you mark
- 14 this as the next exhibit?
- 15 (Document, Bates stamped JONES
- 16 00185 was marked as Plaintiff's
- 17 Exhibit No. 11 for identification,
- as of this date.)
- 19 Q Mr. Jones, you've been handed
- what's been marked Exhibit No. 11 which are
- 21 some more pages that your lawyers provided to
- 22 us in connection with the lawsuit. It should
- 23 be numbered Jones 185 through Jones 193. Is
- 24 that what you have, sir?
- 25 A Yes.

- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q So is that the class you're trying
- 4 to represent, people who Sterling misreported
- 5 information on?
- A Absolutely.
- 7 Q Is there anybody else that you
- 8 seek to represent in this class action?
- 9 A Besides the class?
- 10 Q Yes.
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Do you understand that you have
- certain obligations to the class if you are
- 14 appointed by the court as a class
- 15 representative?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What are those obligations, sir?
- 18 A To the best of my ability, to get
- the information and to represent the people
- 20 that are in the same situation, to the best of
- 21 my ability. As far as my lawyers advise me to
- do, that's what I'll do.
- 23 Q So you'll do whatever your lawyers
- 24 advise you to do?
- 25 A I'll do what's right.

| , | | Page | 128 |
|----|--|------|-----|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | CERTIFICATE | | |
| 3 | STATE OF NEW YORK) | | |
| 4 |)ss: | | |
| 5 | COUNTY OF RICHMOND) | | |
| 6 | I, DANIELLE GRANT, a Certified | | |
| 7 | Shorthand Reporter, and Notary | | |
| 8 | Public within and for the State of | | |
| 9 | New York, do hereby certify: | | |
| 10 | ThatKEVIN ALEXANDER JONES, the witness | | |
| 11 | whose deposition is hereinbefore | | |
| 12 | set forth, was duly sworn by me and | | |
| 13 | that such deposition is a true | | |
| 14 | record of the testimony given by | | |
| 15 | such witness. | | |
| 16 | I further certify that I am not | | |
| 17 | related to any of the parties to | | |
| 18 | this action by blood or marriage | | |
| 19 | and that I am in no way interested | | |
| 20 | in the outcome of this matter. | | |
| 21 | In witness whereof, I have hereunto | | |
| 22 | set my hand this 12TH day of | | |
| 23 | December, 2014. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | DANIELLE GRANT | | |